

# PENRITH CITY COUNCIL



## WSUD TECHNICAL GUIDELINES

Version 2 - July 2014



### Contents

| PENRIT | TH CITY COUNCIL  | 1  |
|--------|--|----|
| 1. PL  | JRPOSE OF WSUD TECHNICAL GUIDELINES  | 3  |
| 2. CC  | DUNCIL APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS FOR WSUD SYSTEMS                                | 3  |
| 2.1.   | General Requirements   | 3  |
| Pre-A  | Application Consultation   | 3  |
| 2.2.   | Information at Development Application Stage                                 | 3  |
| 2.3.   | Information to be Provided at Construction Certificate Stage                 | 4  |
| 2.4.   | Works as Executed (WAE) Drawings & Compliance Certificates                   | 5  |
| 2.5.   | Positive Covenant and Restriction of Use on WSUD elements                    | 5  |
| 2.6.   | Handover of WSUD Assets to Council   | 6  |
| 3. GE  | ENERAL INFORMATION ON WSUD STRATEGY PREPARATION                              | 8  |
| 4. MU  | JSIC MODELLING PARAMETERS FOR PENRITH  | 12 |
| 4.1.   | Rainfall & evaporation inputs  | 13 |
| 4.2.   | Rainfall-Runoff Parameters   | 13 |
| 4.3.   | Pollutant Generation Parameters  | 14 |
| 4.4.   | Treatment Node Inputs  | 15 |
| 4.5.   | Non-potable Reuse rates for Modelling Rainwater Tanks in MUSIC               | 15 |
| 4.6.   | Approved use of Proprietary Stormwater Treatment Devices                     | 18 |
| 5. DF  | RAINAGE AND FLOODING CONSIDERATIONS  | 19 |
| 5.1.   | Drainage and On-site detention   | 19 |
| 5.2.   | Flooding   | 19 |
| 6. BI  | ORETENTION SYSTEMS AS WSUD TREATMENT   | 21 |
| 6.1.   | Elements of a Bioretention System  | 23 |
| 6.2.   | Detailed design guidance   | 24 |
| 6.3.   | Construction and Maintenance   | 24 |
| 7. CH  | HECKLISTS  | 25 |
| 7.1.   | Appendices – Development Application Checklist (lodged with DA)              | 25 |
| 7.2.   | Appendices – Construction Certificate Application Checklist (lodged with CC) | 27 |
| 8. TA  | BLE OF AMENDMENTS  | 28 |

#### 1. PURPOSE OF WSUD TECHNICAL GUIDELINES

These Guidelines must be used to prepare and submit supporting information for Development Applications and Construction Certificates. They are an adaptation of the Draft NSW MUSIC Modelling Guidelines and should be read in conjunction with the latest version of the MUSIC User Guide.

The Guidelines should also be read in conjunction with a number of other industry best practice guidelines documents including:

- Draft NSW Music Modelling Guidelines (prepared for the Sydney Metropolitan CMA)
- WSUD Conceptual Design Information (prepared by Water by Design)
- WSUD Technical Design Guidelines (prepared by Water by Design)
- Typical Drawings (prepared for the Sydney Metropolitan CMA)

The Guidelines provide guidance on the following:

- Council's requirements for the location, ownership and ongoing maintenance responsibilities of WSUD measures
- What is to be submitted with a Development Application or Construction Certificate application
- What is required to be included in a WSUD Strategy
- Parameters to be used in MUSIC modelling
- Where to get further information on the design, construction, operation and maintenance of stormwater treatment measures, and
- Council's expectations in relation to proposed WSUD measures.

The WSUD Technical Guidelines will be periodically reviewed and updated to reflect changes in industry best practice.

#### 2. COUNCIL APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS FOR WSUD SYSTEMS

#### 2.1. General Requirements

When preparing supporting documentation for a Development Application or Construction Certificate application, Council requires applicants and developers to engage appropriately qualified and experienced practitioners for the development of appropriate WSUD designs and strategies.

#### **Pre-Application Consultation**

Discussion with Council is encouraged at an early stage of a development proposal to agree on a general design approach before a detailed WSUD Strategy is prepared.

#### 2.2. Information at Development Application Stage

Development Applications that are required to meet the water conservation targets only are to document within their Development Application how the potable water conservation

targets will be met. This can be through a BASIX Certificate for residential dwellings or a certificate of water appliances and fittings within the DA submitted.

Development types that are required to meet water conservation, stormwater quality and quantity targets must submit a Water Sensitive Urban Design Strategy with a development application. A Water Sensitive Urban Design Strategy is a written report detailing potable water savings and stormwater quality and quantity control measures to be implemented as part of a development. The strategy is to include the following detail:

- **Proposed development** Describe the proposed development at the site, including site boundaries and proposed land uses.
- **WSUD objectives** Identify the WSUD objectives that apply to the proposed development.
- **Water conservation –** document how the potable water conservation targets will be met. For residential developments this maybe in the form of a BASIX Certificate.
- Stormwater quality demonstrate how the stormwater quality targets will be met. It should include stormwater quality modelling results and identify the location, size and configuration of stormwater treatment measures proposed for the development.
- **Stormwater quantity -** demonstrate how the stormwater quantity targets will be met. It should include modelling results and identify the location, size and configuration of measures proposed for the development.
- Details of MUSIC Modelling (or equivalent) Modelling parameters to determine the size
  and configuration of WSUD elements must be undertaken in MUSIC (or equivalent) and
  use the parameters included in Section 4 of this document.
- Costs Prepare capital and operation and maintenance cost estimates of proposed water cycle management measures. Both typical annual maintenance costs and corrective maintenance or renewal/adaptation costs should be included.
- Draft Operation and Maintenance plan An indicative list of inclusions in the maintenance plan is included in Checklist provided in Section 7 of this document
- Checklist outlining the details of the WSUD Strategy and reference of the information source.

Development that needs to consider on-site detention are to refer to Council's *Engineering Specifications* and *Stormwater Drainage for Building Developments* documents.

#### 2.3. Information to be Provided at Construction Certificate Stage

Council may impose a consent condition requiring the submission of full details of WSUD treatment systems for Councils review prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

The following information must be provided with a Construction Certificate application:

- Detailed construction plans including all calculations, drawings and designs which are consistent with the design parameters used in the modelling and approved concept designs from the Development Application.
- An Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan.

- An Operation and Maintenance Plan (OMP) outlining how operation and maintenance issues have been appropriately considered in the development of the WSUD Strategy. A Draft OMP should be provided with the Development Application and include details on the following:
  - a) Site description (area, imperviousness, land use, annual rainfall, topography etc)
  - b) Site access description
  - c) Likely pollutant types, sources and estimated loads
  - d) Locations, types and descriptions of measures proposed
  - e) Operation and maintenance responsibility (council, developer or owner)
  - f) Inspection methods
  - g) Maintenance methods (frequency, equipment and personnel requirements);
  - h) Landscape and weed control requirements
  - i) Operation and maintenance costs;
  - j) Waste management and disposal options; and
  - k) Reporting.
- Completed Construction Certificate Checklist

#### 2.4. Works as Executed (WAE) Drawings & Compliance Certificates

The Principal Certifying Authority must not issue an Occupation Certificate unless Works as Executed (WAE) plans have been prepared and the constructed WSUD system has been completed in accordance with the approved Construction Certificate drawings and conditions of development consent, as per Council's *Engineering Specifications* and *Councils Stormwater Drainage for Building Developments* documents.

#### 2.5. Positive Covenant and Restriction of Use on WSUD elements

To ensure on-going future maintenance of WSUD elements applicants may be required to create a "Positive Covenant" and "Restriction on the Use of Land" under Section 88B of the *Conveyancing Act* 1919, burdening the property with the requirement to maintain the WSUD elements. The following is an example of possible restrictions:

#### Restriction

The proprietor of the burdened lot shall not:

- (1) Erect, construct or place any building or other structure,
- (2) Make alterations to the ground surface levels, grates, pipes, pits, kerbs, tanks, gutters, WSUD measure or any other structure associated with the water quality control system,

Within the land so burdened without the prior written consent of Penrith City Council

#### **Positive Covenant**

- (1) The proprietor of the burdened lot from time to time shall do all things necessary to maintain, repair and replace the grates, pipes, pits, kerbs, tanks, gutters, WSUD measure or any other structures of and incidental to the water quality control system within the land so burdened to the satisfaction of Penrith City Council and in this regard must also comply with any reasonable written request of the Council within such time period nominated.
- (2) Where the proprietor of the burdened lots fails to comply with any written request of the Penrith City Council referred to in (1) above the proprietor shall meet any reasonable cost incurred by the Council in completing the work
- (3) Full and free right for the Penrith City Council and every person authorised by it to enter upon the burdened lot in order to inspect, maintain, cleanse, replace, repair any grates, pipes, pits, kerbs, tanks, gutters WSUD measures or any other structure or alter surface levels to ensure the on-site detention system within the land so burdened functions in accordance with the approved Construction Certificate (Council Reference: DA / ).

**Note:** Penrith City Council must be nominated as the authority to vary or modify the above restrictions and positive covenants.

#### 2.6. Handover of WSUD Assets to Council

Council's prefers WSUD measures to be located on private land under the maintenance of the owner or occupier. If there is a need to hand assets over to Council, arrangements will be made prior to the approval of a Development Application. In this regard, Council will not consider accepting ownership of any WSUD measures unless all of the following conditions are met:

- (1) The proponent must have a Development Application pre-lodgement meeting with Council Officers to discuss Council's requirements of the proposed development
- (2) The WSUD assets / measures are constructed and operate in accordance with the approved design specifications / parameters and any other specific design agreements previously entered into with Council
- (3) The performance of the WSUD measure(s) has been validated, which must include the provision of a Performance Validation Report supporting the performance of the WSUD measure
- (4) Where applicable, the build up of sediment has resulted in no more than a 10% reduction of operational volume (e.g. of the pond, settling basin, constructed wetland)
- (5) Asset inspections for defects has been completed and, if any defects are found, rectified to the satisfaction of Council

- (6) The WSUD infrastructure is to the satisfaction of Council, structurally and geotechnically sound (this will require the submission of documents demonstrating that such infrastructure has been certified by suitably qualified persons)
- (7) Design drawings have been supplied in a format acceptable to Council
- (8) Works as Executed (WAE) drawings have been supplied for all infrastructure in a format and level of accuracy acceptable to Council
- (9) Other relevant digital files have been provided (e.g. design drawings, surveys, bathymetry, models etc)
- (10) Landscape designs have been supplied, particularly those detailing the distribution of functional vegetation, i.e. vegetation that plays a role in water quality improvement (clearance certificates from the landscape architect will need to be supplied)
- (11) The condition of the infrastructure and associated with the land complies with the approved design specification.
- (12) Where applicable, filter media infiltration rates are within 10% of the rates of the design parameters for the filtration system concerned (e.g. bio-retention system, permeable pavement)
- (13) Comprehensive operation and maintenance manuals (including indicative costs) have been provided
- (14) Inspection and maintenance forms provided
- (15) Vegetation establishment period successfully complete (3 years unless otherwise approved by Council)
- (16) Copies of all required permits (both construction and operational) have been submitted.

#### 3. GENERAL INFORMATION ON WSUD STRATEGY PREPARATION

The following information should be referred to when developing a WSUD Strategy.


 MUSIC Model – MUSIC, the Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement Conceptualisation, derives default water quality parameters for a range of pollutants generated from various land use types. As presented in Australian Runoff Quality (Engineers Australia)¹ most verified and published Australian water quality research has been synthesised into MUSIC. The latest version of MUSIC is Version 6 (2013), and is available at eWater. The MUSIC model includes a modelling guideline which should be referred to when using the MUSIC software. Parameters for the MUSIC model in Penrith are outlined in Section 4 of this document.



MUSIC Modelling guide – the development of a MUSIC model requires specific inputs and parameters. For proposed developments in the Penrith Council LGA key parameters for undertaking any MUSIC modelling are outlined in Section 6 of this document. Further information on MUSIC modelling is available in the <a href="mailto:Draft NSW MUSIC Modelling Guideline">Draft NSW MUSIC Modelling Guideline</a>. See <a href="http://www.wsud.org/resources-examples/tools-resources/tools/draft-music-modelling-guidelines-31-08-201011/">Draft NSW MUSIC Modelling Guidelines-31-08-201011/</a>



3. WSUD Conceptual Design Information – information on specific WSUD elements (such as rainwater tanks, bioretention and wetlands) and where they are appropriate is available in the South East Queensland's (SEQ) 'Water by Design' Program's Concept Design Guidelines for WSUD. This document provides an industry standard and seeks to assist multi-disciplinary teams conceptualise and develop design solutions that integrate best practice sustainable urban water management within the urban form. A Sydney based guide has been produced that replaces Queensland references with Sydney specific alternatives available. See <a href="http://www.wsud.org/resources-examples/tools-resources/reference-guidelines/wsud-reference-guidelines/">http://www.wsud.org/resources-examples/tools-resources/reference-guidelines/</a>




The following resources outline further information which can be used by proponents when developing detailed design / construction drawings and undertaking construction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Engineers Australia (2006), <u>Australian Runoff Quality</u>, Melbourne, Australia.

 Technical Design Manual – the 'Water by Design' Program's <u>WSUD</u> <u>Technical Design Guidelines for South East Queensland</u> describe appropriate methods for the detailed design of some common structural stormwater management measures.



 Typical Drawings – the Sydney Metropolitan CMA has released typical drawings for a series of WSUD elements, including bioretention systems at steep or flat sites, in footpaths or roadways. See <a href="http://www.wsud.org/resources-examples/tools-resources/typical-drawings/">http://www.wsud.org/resources-examples/tools-resources/typical-drawings/</a>



6. Construction and Establishment for Swales, Bioretention Systems and Wetlands – the South East Queensland 'Water by Design' Program has produced Construction and Establishment Guidelines, providing guidance on common construction and establishment issues associated with the delivery of vegetated WSUD elements, assisting practitioners to avoid common faults and potential failure at the delivery and design stage. A Sydney based guide has been produced that replaces Queensland references with Sydney specific alternatives available. See <a href="http://www.wsud.org/resources-examples/tools-resources/reference-guidelines/wsud-reference-guidelines/">http://www.wsud.org/resources-examples/tools-resources/reference-guidelines/wsud-reference-guidelines/</a>



 Various Public Health Requirements / Guidelines.
 All WSUD treatment measures must be designed and constructed with consideration of the Public Health Act.

http://www.health.nsw.gov.au/PublicHealth/environment/water/wastewater.asp http://www.health.vic.gov.au/legionella/coolingtower/coolingtower.htm http://www.basix.nsw.gov.au/basixcms/basix-help-notes/water/central-systems/central-cooling.html

Table 1: Contents of a WSUD Strategy, and tools and resources available

| Outline contents  | Details to be provided in the WSUD Strategy  | Supporting<br>Information   |
|---|--|---|
| Proposed Development  | Summarise any background information available on the site, including previous studies, a description of the existing site conditions and details of the development – layout, size, catchments, topography, landuse, roof areas, etc.   | Proponent's development layout  |
| WSUD Objectives   | This section should identify the WSUD objectives which apply to the development including water conservation and stormwater quality objectives.  | Penrith WSUD<br>Policy (Section 3)  |
| Water Conservation  | Identify how water saving fittings fixtures and appliances would be integrated into the development to meet the water conservation targets. Water balance modelling (for harvesting and reuse systems) should include:   |   |
|   | <ul> <li>Water demands</li> <li>Other parameters and assumptions</li> <li>Refer to Section 4.5 for estimating the use and for modelling requirements.</li> </ul>   |   |
| Stormwater Quality  Demonstrate how the stormwater quality targets will be met. Including:  • stormwater quality (MUSIC)                                    | Establish a stormwater quality (MUSIC) model for the proposed development to predict expected stormwater pollutant loads generated from development and to develop a strategy to achieve the stormwater quality targets.  The information submitted with the WSUD Strategy should include:   | MUSIC modelling software  |
| <ul> <li>modelling results</li> <li>identify the location, size and configuration of stormwater treatment measures proposed for the development.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Location, size and configuration of stormwater treatment elements.</li> <li>Details of MUSIC modelling, with the MUSIC parameters and assumptions outlined in an appendix to the WSUD Strategy. Parameters to be reported include rainfall (rain station, time step and years of rainfall) and evapotranspiration, source nodes (catchment areas, impervious fractions, soil parameters and pollutant mean and standard deviation values), and treatment nodes, with the following parameters reported:         <ul> <li>a) bioretention systems - hydraulic conductivity, extended detention depth and filter depth</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Standard MUSIC<br>parameters for<br>Penrith (Section 4<br>of this document)<br>NSW MUSIC<br>Modelling Guide |
|   | <ul> <li>b) ponds and wetlands - inlet pond size, permanent pool depth, extended<br/>detention depth and notional detention time</li> </ul>  |   |

| Outline contents   | Details to be provided in the WSUD Strategy   | Supporting Information  |
|--|---|---|
|  | c) swales - slope and vegetation heights  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>Any variation from the recommended MUSIC parameters must be reported and<br/>justified.</li> </ul>   |   |
| Stormwater Quantity – Stream Forming Flows will be met                               | When determining Stream Erosion Index (SEI) Council requires the use of the methodology in the Draft NSW MUSIC Modelling Guide (Aug 2010) that is adapted from Blackham and G. Wettenhall (2010).   | Modelling Stream<br>forming flows<br>NSW MUSIC<br>Modelling Guide |
|  | Parameters to be reported include:  | Wodelling Guide   |
|  | a) rainfall (rain station, time step and years of rainfall) and evapotranspiration  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>b) source nodes (catchment areas, impervious fractions, soil parameters and<br/>pollutant mean and standard deviation values),</li> </ul>  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>c) generic nodes to transform modelled flows below the stream forming flow<br/>threshold of interest (i.e. 50% of 2yr ARI natural flows) to zero. The<br/>Generic Treatment Node should be configured the same for the natural<br/>and developed (with measures) models</li> </ul> |   |
|  | d) flood frequency analysis   |   |
|  | Provide all calculations  |   |
|  | Details of MUSIC modelling, with the MUSIC parameters and assumptions outlined in an appendix to the WSUD Strategy.   |   |
| Cost and Maintenance Prepare capital and operation and maintenance cost estimates of | Both typical annual maintenance costs and corrective maintenance or renewal/adaptation costs should be included.  | Concept Design<br>Guidelines for<br>WSUD (external                |
| proposed water cycle management measures   | Develop a maintenance plan. An indicative list of inclusions in the maintenance plan is included in Checklists provided in Section 7 of this document.  | link Section 1.1)   |
| Checklist  | Checklist of the WSUD aspects of the development  | Penrith WSUD<br>Policy (Section 7)                                |

#### 4. MUSIC MODELLING PARAMETERS FOR PENRITH

This section provides guidance on modelling parameters to be used when modelling WSUD elements in MUSIC. These guidelines are provided to ensure consultants, developers and Council have a consistent and uniform approach to stormwater quality and harvesting modelling within the City of Penrith.

The parameters must be used when developing a WSUD Strategy to meet the targets outlined in Penrith WSUD Policy. Further information on MUSIC Modelling is available in the <u>Draft NSW MUSIC Modelling Guideline</u>. The information is an adaption of the Draft NSW MUSIC Modelling Guideline and should be read in conjunction with the eWater MUSIC User Guide which is provided with the MUSIC software.

This guideline provides specific guidance on rainfall and evaporation inputs, source node parameters, rainfall runoff parameters, pollutant generation parameters and stormwater treatment nodes. Any MUSIC models that are not consistent with this guideline must justify the differences in parameters and/or assessment methods.

#### Using MUSIC-link to develop MUSIC models

In order to improve the development assessment process with regards to Water Sensitive Urban Design, Council is working with eWater to simplify the process of developing Stormwater Treatment Strategies. The use of **MUSIC-link** can simplify the development and assessment of MUSIC models.

As such, Council encourages proponents to utilise the **MUSIC-***link* function when preparing MUSIC models for proposed developments within the City of Penrith.

The main benefit of **MUSIC-link** is that developers and consultants can design their stormwater management infrastructure and then immediately validate it (within the software) to ensure that parameters fall within the limits of Penrith City Council's requirements. On receiving a submitted design and related validation report, our Development Assessment team can immediately check for compliance with our standards.

**MUSIC-link** streamlines the process of achieving a match between the Penrith City Council's specific guidelines and urban developer's water sensitive designs. MUSIC-link allows Penrith City Council to:

- Apply a simple, robust and quicker process of WSUD assessment, helped by the compliance report that **MUSIC-***link* provides with designs,
- Communicate clearly and directly with developers and designers, providing locally specific WSUD requirements and modelling parameters, and
- Provide increased levels of redundancy for model assessment.

In summary, the use of **MUSIC-***link* allows a MUSIC model to be prepared using Council's preferred parameters, allowing a more streamlined assessment process. The tool also allows proponents / consultant to assess models against Council's requirements before the lodgement of a DA. As such, the use of the tool is encouraged by Council.

#### Instructions for the Use of MUSIC-link

The instructions for the use of **MUSIC-link** are available at the following link.

<a href="http://www.toolkit.net.au/Dropbox/music/metadata/AuthorityData/Penrith%20City%20Council/PenrithCityCouncil-UsingMUSIC-link.pdf">http://www.toolkit.net.au/Dropbox/music/metadata/AuthorityData/Penrith%20City%20Council/PenrithCityCouncil-UsingMUSIC-link.pdf</a>

#### 4.1. Rainfall & evaporation inputs

The rainfall data recommended for MUSIC modelling for Penrith is shown in Table 2. Pluviograph data from Station 67113 Penrith Lakes AWS shall be utilised for MUSIC modelling in the Penrith LGA. Data for the 1999 to 2008 period shall be adopted for MUSIC modelling. The data over this period is relatively complete with a mean annual rainfall of 712mm. Depending on the location of the development within the City an alternate rainfall data set may be used, however justification needs to be provided in the WSUD Strategy.

Table 2: Recommended Rainfall Data for MUSIC modelling

| Purpose  | Time step required | Rainfall Station           | Modelling Period |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Water quality  | 6 minutes          | 67113 Penrith Lakes<br>AWS | 1999 to 2008     |
| Water quantity<br>(including rainwater<br>tanks, stormwater<br>storages) | Daily              | 67113 Penrith Lakes<br>AWS | 1999 to 2008     |

Average Sydney potential evapotranspiration (PET) data is suitable for use in modelling water quality and hydrology. The monthly PET values for the Penrith area are shown in Table 3.

**Table 3: Monthly Evapotranspiration for Penrith** 

| Month    | J   | F   | М   | Α  | M  | J  | J  | Α  | S  | 0   | N   | D   |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| PET (mm) | 159 | 122 | 115 | 77 | 50 | 39 | 41 | 57 | 81 | 122 | 142 | 152 |

#### 4.2. Rainfall-Runoff Parameters

Default rainfall-runoff parameters for Penrith are shown in Table 4. The parameters must be adopted for all developments where the impervious percentage exceeds 10%.

Table 4: MUSIC Rainfall-Runoff Parameters for Penrith

| Impervious Area Parameters            |       |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Rainfall threshold (mm)               | 1.4mm |
| Pervious Area Parameters              |       |
| Soil Storage Capacity (mm)            | 105   |
| Initial Storage (% of capacity)       | 30    |
| Field Capacity (mm)                   | 70    |
| Infiltration Capacity Coefficient – a | 150   |
| Infiltration Capacity Exponent - b    | 3.5   |
| Groundwater Properties                |       |
| Initial depth (mm)                    | 10    |
| Daily Recharge Rate (%)               | 25    |
| Daily Baseflow Rate (%)               | 10    |
| Daily Deep Seepage Rate (%)           | 0     |

In situations where the site being modelled has an impervious proportion less than 10% (e.g. undeveloped site), a model calibration exercise shall be undertaken to ensure that model predictions of total runoff, surface runoff and baseflow volumes are appropriate.

#### 4.3. Pollutant Generation Parameters

The development of the MUSIC software included a comprehensive review of stormwater quality in urban catchments, which forms the basis for the default values of event mean concentrations for total suspended solids (TSS), total phosphorous (TP) and total nitrogen (TN).

Table 5 presents the recommended stormwater quality parameters for various land use categories in MUSIC. Note that for all simulations the MUSIC model must be run with pollutant export estimation method set to "stochastically generated" as opposed to the "mean" estimation method.

**Table 5: Stormwater Quality Parameters for MUSIC Source Nodes** 

| Land-use category                             |                 | Log10 TSS<br>(mg/L) |              | Log10 TP      | (mg/L)       | Log10 TN (mg/L) |              |  |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
|   |                 | Storm<br>Flow       | Base<br>Flow | Storm<br>Flow | Base<br>Flow | Storm<br>Flow   | Base<br>Flow |  |
| General urban<br>(incl. public open<br>space) |                 |                     |              |               |              |                 |              |  |
| Residential                                   | Mean            |                     | 1.20         | -0.60         | -0.85        | 0.30            | 0.11         |  |
| Industrial                                    | Std Dev 0.32    | 32 0.17             | 0.25         | 0.19          | 0.19         | 0.12            |              |  |
| Commercial                                    |                 |                     |              |               |              |                 |              |  |
| Road Areas                                    | Mean<br>Std Dev | 2.43<br>0.32        | *<br>*       | -0.30<br>0.25 | *<br>*       | 0.34<br>0.19    | *<br>*       |  |

| Roof Areas | Mean    | 1.30 | * | -0.89 | * | 0.30 | * |
|------------|---------|------|---|-------|---|------|---|
|            | Std Dev | 0.32 | * | 0.25  | * | 0.19 | * |

<sup>\*</sup> Base flows are only generated from pervious areas, therefore these parameters are not relevant to impervious areas

#### 4.4. Treatment Node Inputs

To meet a site's stormwater quality objectives the development will need to incorporate an appropriate stormwater treatment process for the development, dependent on site constraints and opportunities.

The default parameters in MUSIC for the first order decay k-C\* model used to define the treatment efficiency of each treatment device should be used unless local relevant treatment performance monitoring can be used as reasonable justification for modification of the default parameters. Reference should be made to the MUSIC User Manual.

Note: The following devices are not to be modelled within the MUSIC program: Natural waterways, Natural wetlands, Naturalised channel systems, Environmental buffers and Ornamental Lake/Pond systems.

#### 4.5. Non-potable Reuse rates for Modelling Rainwater Tanks in MUSIC

The following rates are provided as a guide for MUSIC modelling purposes. **Residential development (excluding home units or multistorey dwellings)** allow for rainwater reuse per dwelling based on the area of lots as follows:

- Lots > 720 m<sup>2</sup> allow 0.14 kL/day internal use & 100 kL/year as PET- Rain
- Lots > 520 & < 720 m<sup>2</sup> allow 0.12 kL/day internal use & 75 kL/year as PET- Rain
- Lots > 320 & < 520 m<sup>2</sup> allow 0.10 kL/day internal use & 50 kL/year as PET- Rain
- Lots < 320 m<sup>2</sup> allow 0.08 kL/day internal use & 25 kL/year as PET- Rain NOTE: Consider each Villa and/or Townhouse dwelling as Lots < 320 m<sup>2</sup>

**Industrial and commercial developments**, including schools, child-care centres, hotels/motels, hospitals, halls, sporting fields and aged care and places of worship (including not-for-profits), allow for rainwater reuse as follows:

For internal rainwater reuse, allow 0.1 KL/day per toilet, or urinal in industrial/commercial developments and generally ignore any disabled toilet. However where the site is only occupied say 6 days per week the daily usage rate is to be proportioned by 6 / 7. Similarly where there is an additional afternoon, or night shift using less staff, increase the rate proportionally.

Other internal usage may involve vehicle washing or other industrial usage and specific data will need to be supplied to justify these reuse rates.

For irrigation of landscaped areas only allow 0.4 kL/year/m² as PET-Rain for sprinkler systems and 0.3 kL/year/m² for subsurface irrigation. For bioretention filter areas only allow 0.4 kL/year/m² as PET-Rain (subsurface irrigation only). Higher rates may be required by the landscape architect for specific landscape requirements; however such rates will not be

accepted by Council in the MUSIC model. This does not stop the Landscape Architect increasing the rainwater tank size to cover such requirements.

In order to avoid any confusion relating to treatment node implementation Council provides the following advice for modelling stormwater quality treatment systems within the City of Penrith.

**Table 6: Stormwater treatment parameters** 

| Stormwater treatment measures                        | Selected key parameter values and design guidance  |
|--|--|
| Bioretention systems (basins & swales)  Bioretention | High flow bypass = generally 3-month ARI flow (to be calculated by consultant).  Extended detention depth = 0.1-0.3 m (for basins)  Saturated hydraulic conductivity = 125 mm/hr (maximum)  Filter depth = 0.5-0.8 m  TN content of filter media = 800mg/kg  Orthophosphate content of filter media = 40mg/kg  Exfiltration rate = 0 mm/hr  Note that a submerged (saturated) zone requires a specially designed outlet pit configuration.   |
| Gross pollutant traps  GPT                           | <ul> <li>High flow bypass for the device = 3-month ARI peak flow.</li> <li>Gross pollutant removal should be obtained for the specific GPT type proposed from the supplier – preferably independently verified.</li> <li>TSS removal = 0 (unless a CDS-type system, when TSS removal can be up to 70% for inflow concentrations greater than 75 mg/L).</li> <li>TP removal = 0 (unless a CDS-type system, when TP removal can be up to 30% for inflow concentrations greater than 0.5 mg/L).</li> <li>TN removal = 0.</li> </ul> |
| Wetlands   | <ul> <li>High flow bypass = 1 year ARI flow (to be calculated by consultant).</li> <li>Inlet pond volume calculated using:</li> <li>Inlet pond surface area = 10% of macrophyte zone (storage surface) area</li> <li>Inlet pond depth = 2.0 m recommended</li> <li>Extended detention depth = 0.25 - 0.75 m based on outlet design</li> <li>Notional detention time target = 72 hours.</li> </ul>  |
| Swales   | Bed slope = 1-5%  Vegetation heights of 0.05-0.5 m are acceptable, however MUSIC assumes that swales are heavily vegetated when modelling their treatment performance. Mown grass swales should not be expected to provide significant stormwater treatment and should not be modelled in MUSIC.   |
| Rainwater tanks Rainwater Tank                       | Only roofs should be connected.  Given constraints due to gutter and downpipe arrangement, typically a maximum of 50% of the total roof area can be connected to one tank. If using stored water for irrigation, insert annual irrigation demand (kL/yr) as per 'Water Right Tool' or provide other irrigation estimation details. For a daily demand (kL/day), refer to Section 4.5.  |

| Stormwater treatment measures  | Selected key parameter values and design guidance  |
|--|--|
| Infiltration systems   | Infiltration measures encourage stormwater to infiltrate into surrounding soils. Infiltration measures are highly dependent on local soil characteristics and are best suited to sandy soils with deep groundwater. Infiltration is not recommended in areas of sodic or saline soils or soil contamination, where infiltration could mobilise salts or contaminants. Given the presence of clay throughout the LGA as well as significant areas of sodic and saline soils, infiltration will not be permitted in the Penrith LGA. |
| Water quality ponds (note there are separate procedures for modelling water storage ponds)  Pond                               | Permanent pool = 1.0-2.0 m  Extended detention depth = 0.25-1.0 m.  Parameters within the MUSIC model assume that stormwater is pretreated to remove coarse sediment upstream of the pond, therefore ponds should never be designed without pre-treatment (such as a swale or sedimentation basin).  |
| Sedimentation basins Sedimentation Basin   | Permanent pool volume based on 2 m depth (e.g. with a surface area of 50m² the PPV would be 100m³)  Extended detention depth = 0.25-1.0 m  |
| Detention basins  Detention Basin  | Refer to Council's 'Stormwater and On Site Detention Code (1999)' for details on OSD requirements (see Council's Stormwater Management for Building Developments).   |
| Buffers Buffer   | Buffer strips are only applicable where runoff is distributed across the whole buffer strip and the buffer strip slope is $\leq 5\%$   |
| Media filtration systems (e.g. sand filters)  Media Filtration   | As per bioretention systems (without vegetation)   |
| Generic Generic  | For modelling a treatment device that is not a specific node within the program. This option should only be used if the user has sufficient data to model it effectively. Examples of applications include flow diversions, or sewer overflows.  |
| ALL TREATMENT NODES  | Seepage loss (exfiltration rate) should normally be zero.  Evaporative loss should normally range from 75% of PET for completely open water to 125% of PET for heavily vegetated water bodies.   |
| ALL "ADVANCED<br>PROPERTIES"<br>(k-C* values, orifice discharge<br>and weir coefficients, void<br>ratio, number of CSTR cells) | As per MUSIC default values  |

Any variance to the above parameters needs to be justified in the WSUD Strategy report.

#### 4.6. Approved use of Proprietary Stormwater Treatment Devices

Council may consider approving the use of certain proprietary devices in place of bio-filtration measures, however prior to approval the following information must be provided for Council's consideration:

- The proposed reduction efficiencies need to be justified by rigorous scientific testing and results are published in a credible engineering/scientific journals
- Pollutant reduction parameters independently verified using a method to suit local or regional conditions (comparison between climate, pollutant concentrations and soluble pollutants)
- Information on the performance under dry weather flows (to account for potential pollutant leaching)
- Information on the assumed high-flow bypass rate and details about how it was determined, and
- The modelled pollutant reduction efficiency reflects the published figures.

#### 5. DRAINAGE AND FLOODING CONSIDERATIONS

#### 5.1. Drainage and On-site detention

The evaluation of hydrology to estimate peak design discharges for minor events is required for WSUD to assist with:

- the design of inlets and outlets for hydraulic control structures;
- sizing of WSUD measures that also have a flow conveyance function (e.g. vegetated swales); and
- evaluating hydrologic inputs to hydraulic models used to evaluate flood levels, flooding extents and other flooding characteristics

Interpretation of the drainage characteristics of a particular site is essential for locating, selecting and designing WSUD measures. An appropriate standard method for estimating the 5 year ARI flow shall be adopted for WSUD measures required to manage minor drainage flows.

For small developments/catchments the urban Rational Method approach outlined in Australian Rainfall and Runoff (ARR) (Engineers Australia, 2001) may be applied. For large developments/catchments, the event-based hydrology should be estimated using rainfall-runoff routing software.

On-Site Detention (OSD) is required in particular areas within the LGA and for particular development types. If Council's OSD requirements are relevant for the site, undertake OSD calculations and design in accordance with Council's OSD requirements.

For more information, refer to the latest version of Australian Rainfall & Runoff Guidelines, Penrith City Council's Stormwater Drainage for Building Developments Policy, Engineering Design Guidelines and Engineering Construction Specification for Civil Works (Working Draft).

#### 5.2. Flooding

WSUD measures should be positioned outside the main stream flooding extents. Main stream flooding from constructed trunk drainage systems and watercourses should be assessed separately and guidance on completing that assessment is beyond the scope of these WSUD guidelines.

WSUD measures shall be designed to incorporate consideration of local overland flow flooding that exceeds the capacity of the minor drainage system (i.e. >5yr ARI). An acceptable approach to assessing local overland flow is outlined below.

 An appropriate standard method for estimating the local catchment 100 year ARI flow shall be adopted. For small developments/catchments the urban Rational Method approach outlined in Australian Rainfall and Runoff (ARR) (Engineers Australia, 2001) may be applied. For large developments/catchments, the event-based hydrology is best analysed using rainfall-runoff routing software.

- For WSUD measures located within the street, the measures shall be designed to convey the overland flow during a 100yr ARI event. The overland flow during a 100yr event is typically the difference between the 100yr ARI and 5yr ARI flow where a below-ground minor drainage system is provided. In situations where no below ground drainage system is provided, the overland flow would be the entire 100yr ARI flow. In addition to having sufficient capacity to convey the overland flow (in conjunction with the remaining road reserve), the WSUD measures shall be designed to reduce scouring potential.
- For a WSUD measure located at the downstream reaches of a sub-catchment or development designed primarily for water quality management, the measure shall be configured to divert flows in excess of the 50% of 1yr ARI flow around the measure. In circumstances where the measure is also being utilised to achieve stream erosion protection or detention objectives, the measure shall be designed with high flow outlets (e.g. weirs, spillways, culverts) to manage these infrequent flows.

#### 6. BIORETENTION SYSTEMS AS WSUD TREATMENT

Bioretention systems are commonly used in many water sensitive cities within Australia to meet stormwater quality targets, and are further described in this section. Bioretention systems are vegetated soil media filters, which treat stormwater by allowing it to pond on the vegetated surface, then slowly infiltrate through the soil media. Treated water is captured at the base of the system and discharged via outlet pipes. A typical cross-section of a bioretention system is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Bioretention system typical arrangement (Water by Design 2009)

Bioretention systems can be implemented in almost any size and shape, in many different locations including street trees in the footpath, or road or traffic calming devices within streetscapes. It is important to have sufficient depth (normally at least 0.8 m) between the inlet and outlet of a bioretention system, therefore they may not be suitable at sites with shallow bedrock or other depth constraints, however they are otherwise a very flexible and effective treatment measure for both suspended and dissolved pollutants.

Bioretention systems are able to meet the stormwater treatment targets identified in Council's WSUD Policy and are typically sized to have a filter area of approximately 1.5% of the catchment draining to the treatment element. This size will vary based on the imperviousness of the development and elements of the bioretention system such as extended detention depth and filter depth.

#### **Street Trees**

Street tree bioretention systems are small systems that are incorporated into street tree plantings. These systems can be integrated into high-density urban environments and can take on a variety of forms. The filter media should be at least 0.8 m deep to allow for root growth of the tree, therefore substantial depth is required between the inlet and outlet. Examples of street tree bioretention systems are shown in Figure 2.

#### **Bioretention Rain-gardens**

Rain-gardens can be incorporated in a range of locations, as they can be any shape and size. They are essentially small bioretention basin systems, with typical locations including pocket parks, traffic calming measures and between parking bays.




Figure 2: WSUD bioretention (Raingardens) basin in Carparks / Streets in Penrith LGA

#### 6.1. Elements of a Bioretention System

A bioretention system includes the following components:

- Vegetation minimises surface clogging and assists in pollutant removal via biological processes. Some plant species that can be used include:
  - Imperata cylindrica (Blady Grass),
  - Ficinia nodosa (Syn. Isolepis nodosa) (Knobby Club Rush),
  - Juncus usitatus (Common Rush),
  - Lomandra longifolia (Matrush),
  - Poa siebreiana (Grey Tussock grass),
  - o Themeda australis (Kangaroo Grass)
  - o Dianella caerulea (Blue flax-lily)
  - Carex appressa (Tussock Sedge)




A minimum of 8 plants per square metre is recommended. Shrubs or trees may also be included.

- **Extended detention** (or ponding depth) stores stormwater temporarily on the surface to buffer flows so that a greater volume can be treated.
- The filter media is the principal treatment zone. As stormwater passes through the filter media, pollutants are removed by filtration, adsorption and biological processes. The filter media should normally be 0.6 m deep, and 0.3 m is the minimum acceptable depth where the site is constrained. The filter media should be a loamy sand with a permeability of 100-300 mm/hr under compaction and should be clean and free of weeds. The filter media should contain some organic matter (less than 5%) but be low in nutrient content. No fertiliser is to be added.

Media shall be used which meets the specifications defined in FAWB 2008 'Guidelines for Soild Filter Media in Bioretention Systems.

- A **transition layer** of clean well graded sand/coarse sand prevents the filter media from washing out of the system.
- The **drainage layer** of clean fine gravel (2-5 mm) collects treated water at the base of the system and contains 90-100 mm perforated pipes to convey treated water out of the system.
- An **impervious liner** may be required to prevent infiltration into surrounding soils, particularly if the treatment system is immediately adjacent to roads or buildings where infiltration may cause structural issues. Note that geotextile filters should not be used within the bioretention system, as they are prone to clogging. If perforated pipes come with a geotextile sock, this should be discarded before installation.



- An **inlet** for stormwater runoff. The inlet should be designed to protect the surface of the bioretention system from scour and erosion.
- An **overflow pit** (or other controlled overflow point) to allow high flows, beyond the capacity of the treatment system, to escape to the stormwater drainage system in a controlled manner.
- A **flushing point** connected to the perforated pipes, so they can be cleaned in the event of blockage.
- **Edge treatment** (e.g. a raised kerb or series of bollards) may be required to protect the bioretention system from traffic.
- Pre-treatment is recommended when sediment loads are likely to be high, or if there is a risk of spills. The simplest option is to incorporate a pit with a sump immediately upstream of the bioretention system.

#### 6.2. Detailed design guidance

Design guidance in the form of <u>typical drawings</u> for bioretention systems at steep or flat sites, in footpaths or roadways, has been developed by the WSUD in Sydney program and is available at the following link - <a href="http://www.wsud.org/wp-content/uploads/SMCMA-WSUD-Standard-Drawings-Final.pdf">http://www.wsud.org/wp-content/uploads/SMCMA-WSUD-Standard-Drawings-Final.pdf</a>.

#### 6.3. Construction and Maintenance

During the construction phase, bioretention systems should be protected from high sediment loads associated with construction on site (erosion and sediment control measures should be in place to manage stormwater during this phase).

The commission of bioretention / raingardens systems should not proceed or be brought on line until the civil works are completed and the catchment is stable (i.e. at least 80% of the housing construction is finished). Prior to this it should be used as a sedimentation device to manage the unstable upstream catchment.

Regular maintenance is important to ensure the ongoing performance of bioretention systems. Maintenance requirements of bioretention systems include:

- Monitoring for scour and erosion, and sediment or litter build-up
- Weed removal and plant re-establishment
- Monitoring overflow pits for structural integrity and blockage

Further information is available in the Construction and Establishment for Swales, Bioretention Systems and Wetlands guidelines, as outlined above in Section 3.

### 7. CHECKLISTS

### 7.1. Appendices – Development Application Checklist (lodged with DA)

| PENRITH    | Water Sensitive U Development Application  |  |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
|------------|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| Site/ Proj | ect Name   |  |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
| Lot and D  | P Number:  |  | DA Number:                      |           |   |   |  |  |
| Informati  | on Required w  | ith DA Submission:   |                                 |           | Υ | N |  |  |
| 1          | 1 Has a Water Sensitive Urban Design Strategy been submitted as part of the development application? |  |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
| 2          | Is a BASIX Cert<br>Yes - Attach cer  | ificate required? If so,<br>rtificate with DA  |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
| 3          |  | al version of MUSIC and rended in Council's Technical Gui  |                                 | sing data |   |   |  |  |
|            |  | er quality retention criteria (T<br>/ drainage requirements beer   |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
|            | If relevant, havachieved?  | ve the Water Conservation,   | Quantity and quantity targ      | ets been  |   |   |  |  |
| 4          | Does WSUD Str  | rategy contain the following inf   | formation?                      |           |   |   |  |  |
|            |  | the <b>WSUD principles</b> and en<br>the total the the the the the transfer of the the transfer of the |                                 | d         |   |   |  |  |
|            | <ul> <li>Confirmation</li> <li>application</li> </ul>  | ion of the <b>WSUD objectives</b> t<br>n.  | hat are relevant to the develop | oment     |   |   |  |  |
|            | quality ma   | ion of the <b>WSUD targets</b> for p<br>anagement and stormwater quopment application.   |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
|            |  | a <b>site analysis</b> to evaluate th t on the feasibility of WSUD fo  |                                 | entially  |   |   |  |  |
|            | the develo   | WSUD measures that would be appropriate for the development considering the development scale, site characteristics, stormwater quality management function and stormwater quantity management function.   |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
|            |  | preliminary WSUD strategy that positions the selected WSUD measures in oppropriate locations and arranges the measures in an appropriate series.   |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
|            |  | al modelling utilising MUSIC s<br>UD measures.   |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
|            | • Concept  | designs of the WSUD measu  | res.                            |           |   |   |  |  |
|            |  | rategy report that summarise, and provide this with the dev  |                                 |           |   |   |  |  |
| 5          | Have the cond  | ceptual plans of the propose<br>on the plans? (Detailed en   | ed stormwater treatment m       | neasures  |   |   |  |  |

- 6 Has a Draft Operation and Maintenance Plan which includes details on the following been provided?
  - Site description (area, imperviousness, land use, annual rainfall, topography etc)
  - Site access description
  - Likely pollutant types, sources and estimated loads
  - Locations, types and descriptions of measures proposed
  - Operation and maintenance responsibility (council, developer or owner)
  - Inspection methods
  - Maintenance methods (frequency, equipment and personnel requirements including Work Health and Safety requirements)
  - Landscape and weed control requirements
  - Operation and maintenance costs
  - · Waste management and disposal options, and
  - Reporting.

## 7.2. Appendices – Construction Certificate Application Checklist (lodged with CC)

| Water Sensitive Ur Construction Certificat  |                                       |   |                               |           |   |   |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| Site/ Proj  | ect Name                              |   |                               |           |   |   |  |  |
|   | P Number:                             |   | DA Number:                    |           |   |   |  |  |
| Informati   | on Required w                         | ith CC Application:   |                               |           | Υ | N |  |  |
| 1 Have detailed construction plans (including all calculations, drawings and designs) been submitted? |                                       |   |                               |           |   |   |  |  |
| 2   | Are detailed dapplications modelling? |   |                               |           |   |   |  |  |
| 3   | Has an Eros<br>approval?              | sion and Sedimentation (  | Control Plan been subm        | itted for |   |   |  |  |
| 4   | Has a final Op<br>following been      | peration and Maintenance provided?                                | Plan which includes detail    | s on the  |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | ual   |                               |           |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | Site access description   |                               |           |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | Likely pollutant types, sour                                      | ces and estimated loads       |           |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | Locations, types and descr  | riptions of measures propos   | sed       |   |   |  |  |
|   |                                       | Operation and maintenanc or owner)                                | e responsibility (council, de | eveloper  |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | Inspection methods  |                               |           |   |   |  |  |
|   |                                       | Maintenance methods (free requirements including Wo requirements) |                               | rsonnel   |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | Landscape and weed conti  | rol requirements              |           |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | Operation and maintenanc  | e costs                       |           |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | Waste management and d  | isposal options, and          |           |   |   |  |  |
|   | •                                     | Reporting.  |                               |           |   |   |  |  |

### 8. TABLE OF AMENDMENTS

| Amendment<br>Number | Amendment Date | Summary of Amendment            |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                   | July 2014      | Inclusion of MUSIC link details |